

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
ELIEZER RIVERA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

(LAK)

20-cv-9131 (LAK)

YANIER GONZALEZ-ESPONIZA, et ano.,

Defendant(s)
----- X

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.


Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint or notice of removal fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☒ The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☒ The citizenship of one or more limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).
- ☐ The nature and citizenship of one or more business entities.
- ☐ The timely removal of the action from state court.

Absent the filing, on or before November 10, 2020, of an amended notice of removal adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, the action will be dismissed (or remanded).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 2, 2020



Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge